Anthropogenic Influence on Atmospheric Oxidants and the Sulfur Budget

E.D. Sofen, S.A. Kunasek, and B. Alexander

Department of Atmospheric Sciences, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA

ABSTRACT

We use a global chemical transport model constrained by observations of ice cure sulfate oxygen isotopes to simulate anthropogenic impacts on oxidant (OH, O₃, H₂O₂) and sulfur budgets. The Arctic ice core sulfate O-isotope observations are insensitive to changes in oxidant concentrations on the preindustrial-industrial timescale due to the rising importance of metal catalyzed S(IV) oxidation in mid- to high-northern latitudes resulting from anthropogenic metal emissions. The small change in Antarctic ice core sulfate O-isotope observations on this timescale are consistent with simultaneous increases in boundary layer O₃ (32%) and H₂O₂ (49%) concentrations in the southern hemisphere, which have opposing effects on the sulfate O-isotope anomaly. Despite large changes in global oxidant abundances and sulfur emissions since preindustrial times, the relative importance of gas- versus aqueous-phase sulfate formation has remained constant

I. INTRODUCTION

The oxidation capacity of the atmosphere (usually defined by global mean OH abundance) has large implications for GHG (e.g. CH₄) and pollutant (e.g. CO) concentrations. Little to no information exists on how oxidant abundances have varied in the past. Hence we rely on models; however, modeled changes in OH and O3 in the past show disagreement among different studies due to large

uncertainties in emissions of O₃ precursors.



Figure 2. S(IV) oxidation pathways and their corresponding ${}^{\Lambda^{17}O}(SO_4^{~2})$ values (${}^{\Lambda^{17}O} = \delta^{17}O - 0.5 \times \delta^{16}O$) [Savarino et al., 2000].

0.

The Δ17O value of sulfate and nitrate from ice cores

currently provides the most promising proxy for paleo

oxidant concentrations. The A17O value of sulfate is a

function of the relative importance of its various oxidation

pathways, which is in large part determined by

atmospheric oxidant abundance (in addition to pH and

liquid water content of clouds). It can also be used as an

indicator of the relative importance of gas- versus

aqueous-phase sulfate formation, which has implications

 $A^{17}O = 0\%$

 $\Delta^{17}O = 1\%$

 $\Delta^{17}O = 9\%$

 $\Delta^{17}O = 0\%$

SO.2

II. ICE CORE OBSERVATIONS

Arctic $\Delta^{17}O(SO_4^{2-})$ ice core and aerosol observations show an unexpected decrease throughout the industrial period. This decrease is unexpected due to known increases in O₂ concentrations over this time period.



Figure 3. A¹⁷O(SO₄²⁻) and A¹⁷O(nitrate) since 1680 A.D. from Greenland ice core and snowpit a ations (produced using data from Alexander et al. [2004], McCabe et al. [2006] and Kunasek et al. [2008]).

III. METHODS

We use the GEOS-Chem model [Bey et al., 2001], a global 3D chemical transport model driven by assimilated meteorology at 4°x5° horizontal resolution and 30 sigma vertical levels, to simulate oxidant concentrations and Δ17O(SO₄²⁻) in the present day and preindustrial time periods.

Antarctic A17O(SO42-) ice core observations show a small increase from the mid- to late-1700s leveling off in the mid- to late-1800s. The timing of the increase is coincident with increases in southern hemisphere biomass burning [Marlon et al., 2008].



Figure 4. Raw Δ¹³O(SO₄²) and Δ¹³O(nssSO₄²) since 1770 A.D. from the West Antarctic los Sheet (WAIS) Divide ice core (Figure 2 from *Kunasek et al.*, manuscript in prep). Note: k values represent different assumptions regarding the SQ₄²/Ner ratio in sea-water.

Table 1. GEOS-Chem model configuration for the preindustrial and present day

Model Configuration	Preindustrial	Industrial GEOS-4 1989-1991 GEIA GEIA	
Meteorology	GEOS-4 1989-1991		
Fossil Fuel Emissions	OFF		
Fertilizer Emissions	OFF		
Biomass Burning Emissions	scaled to 10% of industrial	Duncan et al./GFED2	
Biogenic Emissions	GEIA/MEGAN	GEIA/MEGAN	
Biofuel Emissions	GEIA	GEIA	
CO ₂	285 ppmv	355 ppmv	
CH_4	792 ppbv	1700 ppbv	

IV. MODEL RESULTS

Calculated global mean changes in tropospheric OH (-9%), O₃ (+42%) and H₂O₂ (+58%) in the present day relative to the preindustrial are consistent with previous modeling



Figure 5. Percent change in boundary layer oxidant concentrations in the present day relative to the preindustrial

The fraction of sulfate formed in the gas-phase, important for direct and indirect radiative forcing of sulfate aerosols, does not change despite large changes in the sulfur and oxidant budgets.

	Preindustrial	Present day
Sulfur Emissions (Tg yr ⁻¹)	23.6	92.0
Sulfur Burden (Tg)	0.42	0.97
Fraction of sulfate formed via gas-phase SO ₂ + OH	23%	26%

Impact of oxidant changes on $\Delta^{17}O(SO_4^{2-})$ and comparison with ice core observations

Table 3. Change (‰) in $\Delta^{17}O(SO_4^{2*})$ in the present day relative to the preindustria

	Model (base ⁸)	Model (with metal catalyzed S(IV) oxidation)	Ice core observations		
Arctic (Greenland ^b and Alert ⁶)	+0.2	-0.7	+0.7±0.4*		
Antarctic (WAIS ⁴)	+0.2	-0.2	+0.2±0.5°		

"base scenario includes S(IV) oxidation by OH, H₂O₂ and O₃ ^bAlexander et al. [2004] (see Figure 3) ^cMcCabe et al. [2006] (see Figure 3)

^dKunasek et al., manuscript in prep.(see Figure 4) *error represents the 1σ standard deviation of the preindustrial observations

Sulfate formed via metal catalyzed oxidation is dominated by anthropogenic metal emissions in mid- to high-northern latitudes in the present day, and represents up to ~half of (annual mean) total sulfate formation.



Figure 6. Annual mean fraction of total sulfate at the surface formed via metal catalyzed oxidation (Figure 4 from Alexander et al. [2009]).

Modeled changes in OH and O3 in the LGM relative to the

preindustrial show large disagreement among different

studies

Figure 8. Modeled percent change in global-mean tropospheric OH and O₃ concentrations in the LGM relative to the P.I.

V. CONCLUSIONS

 A decrease in modeled Δ¹⁷O(SO₄²⁻) (-0.7‰) in Greenland in the present day relative to the preindustrial is consistent with the Greenland ice core record (-0.7±0.4‰) [Alexander et al., 2004]. The unexpected decrease in $\Delta^{17}O(SO_2^2)$ on this timescale is explained by the rising dominance of metal catalyzed S(IV) oxidation from anthropogenic metal emissions, despite large increases in O3 concentrations (50%) in the northern hemisphere.

 A small decrease in modeled Δ¹⁷O(SO₄²⁻) (-0.2‰) in Antarctica in the present day relative to the preindustrial is consistent with a small change in the Antarctic observations (+0.2±0.5‰) [Kunasek et al., manuscript in prep.]. The lack of a significant change in Antarctic $\Delta^{17}O(SO_4^{2*})$ on this timescale is consistent with simultaneous increases in O₃ (32%) and H₂O₂ (49%) concentrations in the southern hemisphere which have opposing effects on $\Delta^{17}O(SO_4^{2-})$.

VI. FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Δ¹⁷O(SO,²⁻) from the Vostok ice core shows large (3.5%) changes on the glacial-interglacial timescale, consistent with increased OH (and CH₄ sink) during the last glacial.



cial-interglacial cycle from the xander et al. [2002]).

In collaboration with Loretta Mickley, Gavin Schmidt, Jed Kaplan and others, we are simulating oxidant concentrations and $\Delta^{17}O(SO_4^{2-})$ on the glacial-interglacial timescale using an offline coupling between GEOS-Chem (CTM), GISS ModelE (GCM), and BIOME-4 (global terrestrial vegetation model). We are testing the sensitivity of Δ¹⁷O(SO₄²⁻) to changes in oxidants on this timescale in order to provide an observational constraint for oxidant concentrations in the past.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Funding provided by NSF ATM 0704169 to B. Alexander and a UW Program on Climate Change Graduate Fellowship to E.D. Sofen



REFERENCES

Global modeling of tropospheric chemistry with assimilated meteor M. M., Dreier, M. F., Trudinger, C. M., Ommen, T. D. v., and Langent A. and Brazer, G. B.: Explicition of tropospheric composition under anthe Hauglustaine, D. A., and Brasseur, G. P.: Evolution or trop (2590, 2006.; Karol, I.L., Frolkis, V. A., and Kiselev, A. A. anuscript in preparation.; Kunasek, A., S., Alexander, B., deling of the a c volatile organic compound sources in late glacial and Holocene 1 iemens, M. H., Steig, E. J., McConnell, J. R., and Amos, H. M.: Co L., Buia, L., Washington, W., and Granier, a. C.: Tropospheric ozi Standparts Fig. 105 (2005) 4000 L lossed L and Delaward J - 1 Water standparts and a delaying Rus, Mich. 2015 (2007) 4 and J - Brance W. Delaying Fig. 2015 (2007) 4 and J - Brance W. Delaying Fig. 2015 (2007) 4 and J - Brance W. Delaying Fig. 2015 (2007) 4 and J - Brance W. Delaying Fig. 2015 (2007) 4 and J - Brance W. Delaying Fig. 2015 (2007) 4 and J - Brance W. Delaying Fig. 2015 (2007) 4 and J - Brance W. Delaying Fig. 2015 (2007) 4 and J - Brance W. Delaying Fig. 2015 (2007) 4 and J - Brance W. Delaying Fig. 2015 (2007) 4 and J - Brance W. Delaying Fig. 2015 (2007) 4 and J - Brance W. Delaying Fig. 2015 (2007) 4 and J - Brance W. Delaying Fig. 2015 (2007) 4 and J - Brance W. Delaying Fig. 2015 (2007) 4 and J - Brance W. Delaying Fig. 2015 (2007) 4 and J - Brance W. Delaying Fig. 2015 (2007) 4 and J - Brance W. Delaying Fig. 2015 (2007) 4 and J - Brance W. Delaying Fig. 2015 (2007) 4 and J - Brance W. Delaying Fig. 2015 (2007) 4 and J - Brance W. Delaying Fig. 2015 (2007) 4 and J - Brance W. Delaying Fig. 2015 (2007) 4 and J - Brance W. Delaying Fig. 2015 (2007) 4 and J - Brance W. Delaying Fig. 2015 (2007) 4 and J - Brance W. Delaying Fig. 2015 (2007) 4 and J - Brance W. Delaying Fig. 2015 (2007) 4 and J - Brance W. Delaying Fig. 2015 (2007) 4 and J - Brance W. Delaying Fig. 2015 (2007) 4 and J - Brance W. Delaying Fig. 2015 (2007) 4 and J - Brance W. Delaying Fig. 2015 (2007) 4 and J - Brance W. Delaying Fig. 2015 (2007) 4 and J - Brance W. Delaying Fig. 2015 (2007) 4 and J - Brance W. Delaying Fig. 2015 (2007) 4 and J - Brance W. Delaying Fig. 2015 (2007) 4 and J - Brance W. Delaying Fig. 2015 (2007) 4 and J - Brance W. Delaying Fig. 2015 (2007) 4 and J - Brance W. Delaying Fig. 2015 (2007) 4 and J - Brance W. Delaying Fig. 2015 (2007) 4 and J - Brance W. Delaying Fig. 2015 (2007) 4 and J - Brance W. Delaying Fig. 2015 (2007) 4 and J - Brance W. Delaying Fig. 2015 (2007) 4 and J - Brance W. Delaying Fig. 2015 (2007) 4 and J - Brance W. Delaying Fig. 2015 (2007) 4 and J - Brance W. Delaying Fig. 2015 (200 Emmons, L., Buja, L., Washington, W., and Graner, I son, S. P., Higuera, P. E., Joos, F., Power, M. J., and